	ITTC – Recommended Procedures	7.5 – 02 02 – 05 Page 1 of 5	
	Resistance, Uncertainty Analysis Spreadsheet for Sinkage and Trim Measurements	Effective Date 2002	Revision 00

CONTENTS

1 PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE

2 PARAMETERS

2.1 Inputs

2.2 Outputs

3 PROCEDURE


3.1 Instructions

3.2 Spreadsheet

4 VALIDATION

5 REFERENCES

Prepared by	Approved
Resistance Committee of 23 rd ITTC	23 rd ITTC
Date	Date

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures	7.5 – 02 02 – 05 Page 2 of 5	
	Resistance, Uncertainty Analysis Spreadsheet for Sinkage and Trim Measurements	Effective Date 2002	Revision 00

Uncertainty Analysis Spreadsheet For Sinkage And Trim Measurements

1 PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE

Provide a spreadsheet for calculating the bias and precision limits and total uncertainty using single or multiple test methods for model scale towing tank sinkage and trim tests following the Quality Manual Procedures 7.5-02-01-01 “Uncertainty Analysis in EFD, Uncertainty Assessment Methodology,” and Longo, J. and Stern, F., (1998) “Resistance, Sinkage and Trim, Wave Profile, and Nominal Wake and Uncertainty Assessment for DTMB Model 5512,” Proc. 25th ATTC, Iowa City, IA.

2 PARAMETERS


The data reduction, bias and precision limit, and total uncertainty equations are given in Longo, J. and Stern, F., (1998) “Resistance, Sinkage and Trim, Wave Profile, and Nominal Wake and Uncertainty Assessment for DTMB Model 5512,” Proc. 25th ATTC, Iowa City, IA. The uncertainty analysis spreadsheet for sinkage and trim tests implements this procedure. Spreadsheet inputs and outputs are given in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, respectively, based in the definitions as Longo, J. and Stern, F., (1998) “Resistance, Sinkage and Trim, Wave Profile, and Nominal Wake and Uncertainty Assessment for DTMB Model 5512,” Proc. 25th ATTC, Iowa City, IA. Spreadsheet table of contents is as follows:

Table of Contents


1. General information
2. Data reduction equations
3. Correction for measuring point other than at perpendiculars
4. Single or multiple test uncertainty
5. Input variables
6. Uncertainty analysis equations
7. Bias Limits
 - 7.1 Speed
 - 7.2 □ FP Bias
 - 7.3 □ AP Bias
8. Sensitivity coefficients for sinkage
9. Total bias limit for sinkage
10. Sensitivity coefficients for trim
11. Total bias limit for trim
12. Precision limits
13. Total uncertainty

2.1 Inputs

Symbol	Units	Definition
		Facility
		Type of ship
		Period of tests performed
		References
D	m	Distance between measuring points
L_1	m	Distance from fore measuring point to forward perpendicular (FP)
L_2	m	Distance from aft measuring point to aft perpendicular (AP)

 INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	ITTC – Recommended Procedures		7.5 – 02 02 – 05 Page 3 of 5	
	Resistance, Uncertainty Analysis Spreadsheet for Sinkage and Trim Measurements		Effective Date 2002	Revision 00

M		Number of Tests	τ		Measured trim (multiple test)
ΔFP	m	ΔFP measured (single test)			
ΔAP	m	ΔAP measured (single test)	ΔFP	m	ΔFP average (multiple test)
σ_{σ}		Standard deviation of sinkage (single test)	ΔAP	m	ΔAP average (multiple test)
σ_{τ}		Standard deviation of trim (single test)	σ		Average sinkage (multiple test)
ΔFP	m	ΔFP measured (multiple test)	τ		Average trim (multiple test)
ΔAP	m	ΔAP measured (multiple test)	$\sigma_{\Delta FP}$		Standard deviation of ΔFP (multiple test)
L_{WL}	m	Length along waterline	$\sigma_{\Delta AP}$		Standard deviation of ΔAP (multiple test)
g	m/s ²	Model basin gravity	σ_{σ}		Standard deviation of sinkage (multiple test)
K		Coverage factor for standard deviation	σ_{τ}		Standard deviation of trim (multiple test)
V	m/s	Model speed	Fr		Model Froude number % of speed, V
U_{trav}		Calibration traverse uncertainty	ΔFP	m	ΔFP measured
z_{range}	m	Calibration traverse range	ΔAP	m	ΔAP measured
z_{inc}	m	Calibration traverse increment	σ		Sinkage
$(z_{inc})^2$	m ²	Calibration traverse increment sum of squares	τ		Trim
θ_M	°	Potentiometer misalignment angle	$B_{\Delta FP1}$	m	Calibration bias of ΔFP % of $(B_{\Delta FP})^2$
B_V	m/s	Speed bias	$B_{\Delta FP2}$	m	Potentiometer misalignment bias of ΔFP % of $(B_{\Delta FP})^2$
$B_{\Delta FP3}$	m	Data reduction bias of ΔFP			% of $(B_{\Delta FP})^2$
$B_{\Delta AP3}$	m	Data reduction bias of ΔAP	$B_{\Delta FP}$	m	Total bias of ΔFP % of ΔFP
2.2 Outputs			$B_{\Delta AP1}$	m	Calibration bias of ΔAP % of $(B_{\Delta AP})^2$
Symbol	Units	Definition	$B_{\Delta AP2}$	m	Potentiometer misalignment bias of ΔAP % of $(B_{\Delta AP})^2$
σ		Statement of purpose Measured sinkage (single test)			
τ		Measured trim (single test)			
σ		Measured sinkage (multiple test)	$B_{\Delta AP}$	m	Total bias of ΔAP

 INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	ITTC – Recommended Procedures	7.5 – 02 02 – 05 Page 4 of 5	
	Resistance, Uncertainty Analysis Spreadsheet for Sinkage and Trim Measurements	Effective Date 2002	Revision 00

		% of ΔAP
$\theta_{V,\sigma}$	s/m	Sensitivity coefficient for speed, V , for sinkage
$\theta_{\Delta FP,\sigma}$	1/m	Sensitivity coefficient of ΔFP , for sinkage
$\theta_{\Delta AP,\sigma}$	1/m	Sensitivity coefficient of ΔAP , for sinkage
B_{σ}		Total bias limit for sinkage % of $(U_{\sigma})^2$
$\theta_{V,\tau}$	s/m	Sensitivity coefficient for speed, V , for trim
$\theta_{\Delta FP,\tau}$	1/m	Sensitivity coefficient of ΔFP , for trim
$\theta_{\Delta AP,\tau}$	1/m	Sensitivity coefficient of ΔAP , for trim
B_{τ}		Total bias limit for trim % of $(U_{\tau})^2$
σ_{σ}		Standard deviation of sinkage, σ
$M_{sinkage}$		Number of tests, sinkage
P_{σ}		Sinkage precision limit % of $(U_{\sigma})^2$
σ_{τ}		Standard deviation of trim, τ
M_{trim}		Number of tests, trim
P_{τ}		Trim precision limit % of $(U_{\tau})^2$
U_{σ}		Sinkage total uncertainty % of sinkage, σ
U_{τ}		Trim total uncertainty % of trim, τ

3 PROCEDURE

3.1 Instructions


The inputs appear as empty white boxes. The outputs appear as grey boxes and are calculated for the user. The user can select single or multiple test method by entering the corresponding number of tests, 1 for single test method, >1 for multiple test method. For single test method, enter average ΔFP , average ΔAP , standard deviation of ΔFP from best available data and standard deviation of ΔAP from best available data. For multiple test method, enter measured ΔFP and ΔAP values from each test. There are 15 ΔFP and ΔAP inputs for multiple test method. If the user does not have 15 ΔFP and ΔAP inputs, use as many as necessary and leave the remaining ΔFP and ΔAP inputs blank, not zero. Upon entering of all inputs, the uncertainty will be calculated for the user at the end of the spreadsheet.

3.2 Spreadsheet

The uncertainty analysis spreadsheet for sinkage and trim tests is provided by attached Sinkage and Trim-blank.xls excel document.



"Sinkage and Trim - blank.XLS"

 INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	ITTC – Recommended Procedures	7.5 – 02 02 – 05 Page 5 of 5	
	Resistance, Uncertainty Analysis Spreadsheet for Sinkage and Trim Measurements	Effective Date 2002	Revision 00

4 VALIDATION

Example use of the uncertainty analysis spreadsheet for sinkage and trim tests for single and multiple test methods are provided by attached Sinkage and Trim-single test example.xls and Sinkage and Trim-multiple test example.xls excel documents. The examples are based on Longo and Stern (1998).



"Sinkage and Trim -
single test example.X



"Sinkage and Trim -
multiple test example

5 REFERENCES

Longo, J. and Stern, F., (1998) "Resistance, Sinkage and Trim, Wave Profile, and Nominal Wake and Uncertainty Assessment for DTMB Model 5512," Proc. 25th ATTC, Iowa City, IA.